

# The Sydney Morning Herald.

No. 21,231. Registered at the General Post Office, Sydney, for transmission by post as a Newspaper.

For Notices of Births, Marriages, Deaths, &amp;c. See Page 4.

## SUMMARY.

FARMER AND COMPANY, LIMITED.

IN SUNNY NEW SOUTH WALES,

EVEN IN AUTUMN,

SUNSHADES ARE A NECESSITY.

AUTUMN SUNSHADES

FOR

AUTUMN WEATHER.

We have a splendid range of SUNSHADES in latest

Colours, to match or harmonise with the Rich Autumn

Tones so fashionable in Dress Fabrics.

DAINTY RUMPLED, RIBBED, COLOURS,

ELEGANT HANDLES.

Helen and May PARASOL, with Frills and Border in

Hand-painted Marguerites, new Handles.

Price, 7s 6d.

The New Shades in Green and Cinnamon Browns, Embroidered Borders.

Price, 7s 6d.

Champagne and Helen SUNSHADES, with Scalloped Borders, in Darker Shades.

Price, 7s 6d.

Dark Creme SUNSHADES, all over Floral Design.

Price, 7s 6d. 1s 6d. 1s 6d. 2s.

Brown, Green, Navy, and Cinnamon SUNSHADES, Embroidered Borders, with Frills and White Borders.

Price, 7s 6d. 1s 6d.

COLOURED RAIN UMBRELLAS.

Amongst the latest Novelties of the Season are Coloured RAIN UMBRELLAS, in Navy, Green, Brown, Blue, Yellow.

In token of mourning two thousand sailors in vessels of the fleet hoisted the black flag.

Admiral Chukhnik ordered these vessels to be hoisted, but the order was countermanded and the instructions had been received from St. Petersburg.

The trial of William Patrick Crick M.L.A. took place yesterday at the Central Criminal Court, Darlinghurst.

Mr. Reid (not accused) entered a denial to the indictment, which alleged that accused had corruptly accepted £250 from Peter C. Close.

The statement on the deceased was that the sailors in the indictment were not sufficient in law and that accused was not bound to answer them.

Mr. Justice Cohen overruled the disbarmer, as well as a number of other points submitted by Mr. Reid.

Evidence was given by Peter Collinson Close that he had paid £250 to the accused when he latter was Minister for Lands.

Evidence was also given in regard to the Bobbin Exchange.

The trial was having closed, Mr. shand (of the Crown) admitted that he could not wait for a conviction upon the indictment.

Mr. Justice Cohen thereupon directed the jury to return a verdict of not guilty, and the accused discharged.

W. N. Willis' appeal to the Naval Supreme Court has been formally listed for April 2. There may, however, be other appeals by accused.

The Deakin says he will outline the policy of the Government at Ballarat on Saturday, but only in general terms.

He explains that he will not be able to deal with every detail, as he says, his speech would be too long.

The general manager (Mr. Delprat) reported yesterday, in regard to the Proprietary Mine, that "everything is going on smoothly."

The A.N.A. conference in Melbourne urged the need for legislation to enforce apprenticeship in appropriate trades.

The conference also resolved that an Australian apprenticeship should be established, and that it should act in conjunction with the British Navy in time of war.

The Narrabeen Borough Council protests against the proposed railway freight and maritime charges in passenger rates.

The council asks that concessions made in Sydney should be given to country residents.

The council, in asking that these views should be laid before the Minister of Trade, said that the public interest should be charged to consolidated revenue.

It is stated that hotel clients are to be allowed to procure meals at hotels on Sundays, provided they do not exceed.

Members of the New South Wales Legislative Assembly expressed regret at being unable to accept the recent invitation of Victorian legislators.

The New South Wales Parliamentary men were unable to find sufficiently representative teams to play matches at cricket, bowls, rifle shooting, etc., in Melbourne.

In the suit brought by White Bell against John A. Carruthers for alleged breach of promise, Mr. Gannon (or defendant) made a singular offer.

He said that the case could be settled in five minutes if the parties would adjourn to the Registrar-General's marriage office. Plaintiff declined the offer.

The Horticultural Society's show was opened at the Town Hall yesterday. The collection of £1,000 was given to the Red Cross.

The Railway Commission was occupied yesterday in hearing an address by Mr. Campbell on behalf of Mr. Oliver.

He maintained that from the beginning of the appointment Meares, Kirkaldy and Oliver had manifested hostility towards Mr. Oliver.

Amy Duncan, aged 4 years, was drawn in a wain to her permanent residence at Carlton yesterday.

John Ronald, master of the steamer Victoria, was fined £100 in Melbourne for allowing a Chinese member of his crew to enter the Commonwealth.

A deposition represented to Mr. Carruthers yesterday that diseased pigs were slaughtered in the country, and that they passed into consumption.

Mr. Carruthers promised that additional inquiries would be appointed, and other measures taken.

The Hawke Inquiry Board took the evidence yesterday of men who were alleged to have been shot by not turning out to early morning parades.

One of the soldiers said he did not turn out because he always got a "rough time" from his comrades."

The dying deposition has been taken of the woman who was found wounded and lying near Mr. Ewing's office, at Palmerston (N.S.W.).

She stated that she shot Murret, that she fled to kill herself, and that she was jealous of another woman.

Mr. Ewing has promised to fully consider Mr. Ewing's various made regarding toll telephones before the new system is definitely introduced.

The Melbourne cricket club South Canterbury will celebrate its 100th anniversary. They begin a match against New Zealand to-day.

Owing to the heavy seas running on the coast, 40 vessels are detained. Stand-off signals are held at Kiama.

The two leading left Cape Hatteras for Sydney, but had to return to port, and in doing so ran aground.

There was not much business in investment securities on the Exchange yesterday, prices being about the same level as at the beginning of the week.

The feature of the Mining market was a recovery in Barrer silver shares, but the volume of transactions was not large.

TRY A POUND.

GRIFFITHS BROS. Prop. Ltd.

TEA, COFFEE, AND COCOA MERCHANTS,

54 GEORGE-STREET, CITY,

next door to the Strand.

FORTY-TWO SHILLINGS FOR A MURDOCH SUIT TO ORDER.

And it's good as any man's suit, and very well made. Yes— it's hard for you to believe that you'll get a suit to fit you just ask for your size.

EXTRA TROUSERS 10/- per PAIR TO ORDER.

MURDOCH'S, IN PARK-STREET, SYDNEY.

"Where the good Hats are."

FOR BREAKFAST

THESE COLD, WET MORNING,

THERE IS NOTHING TO EQUAL OUR INVIGORATING COFFEE.

TRY A POUND.

GRIFFITHS BROS. Prop. Ltd.

TEA, COFFEE, AND COCOA MERCHANTS,

54 GEORGE-STREET,

opp. Town Hall.

The New and Modern Passengers

will leave Howard Smith's Wharf, foot of King-street, Circular Quay, Sydney, at 10 a.m.

HOWARD SMITH COMPANY, Limited.

Office of Mutual Life-building,

Queensland, 54 George-street.

SOUTH ANNANDALE ESTATE—Site To-morrow

Afternoon, 3 o'clock. H. and W. Ltd.

## SHIPPING.

P. &amp; O. COMPANY'S ROYAL MAIL STEAMERS, FOR MARSEILLE, PLYMOUTH AND LONDON, FIRST AND SECOND CLASS ONLY.

Agents for Plymouth and London, and thereafter at fortnightly intervals.

Steamer. Tone. Commander. Hydro. Date.

"MARIBOR"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"TICINO"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"HIMALAYA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"INDIA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"CHINA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"MOLDAVIA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"PITT-STREET"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"TICINO"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"MARIBOR"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"TICINO"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"INDIA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"CHINA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"MOLDAVIA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"PITT-STREET"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"TICINO"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"INDIA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"CHINA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"MOLDAVIA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"PITT-STREET"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"TICINO"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"INDIA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"CHINA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"MOLDAVIA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"PITT-STREET"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"TICINO"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"INDIA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"CHINA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"MOLDAVIA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"PITT-STREET"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"TICINO"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"INDIA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"CHINA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"MOLDAVIA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"PITT-STREET"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"TICINO"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"INDIA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"CHINA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"MOLDAVIA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"PITT-STREET"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"TICINO"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"INDIA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"CHINA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"MOLDAVIA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"PITT-STREET"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"TICINO"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"INDIA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"CHINA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"MOLDAVIA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"PITT-STREET"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"TICINO"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"INDIA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"CHINA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"MOLDAVIA"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st April 11.

"PITT-STREET"..... 10,630 G. L. Langhorne, 1st







## HEALTH.

EMENTS.

ORTER.)

in the Public in the pro-  
- 1906, and in  
- in cases  
- are to make  
- in each  
- Circum-  
- of the  
- to the  
- out that the  
- in clauses 112  
- the Regulations,  
- namely, "The  
- and im-  
- efficiency  
- to resolu-  
- the above  
- stated that one  
- should be im-  
-

officer in the  
- created during  
- or rigid  
- the great  
- recommendation

work is, in the  
- department, great-  
- cases in which  
- minister, in  
- at the time  
- by de-  
- ioner has ap-  
- being adopted  
- the responsible  
- the following

by the officer  
- good  
- curren-  
- his ad-  
- subdivisions.

ations for the  
- for pay, whose  
- to the Pub-  
- and give  
- equally cap-  
- work."

AL SPEECH  
- day, that of  
- which it is ne-  
- to deal with  
- length, self on Satur-  
- the work that  
- also to that  
- as in con-  
- the Trans-  
- the following  
- 29th I speak  
- the other sub-  
- and the Labour  
- seen their pro-  
- sub-  
- to deal at  
- the dis-  
- the Pioneers  
- next mont-  
- will be pretty  
- but of course  
- it would reach  
- with every-  
-

of the Mon-  
- and the Hon.  
- re-elected as  
- respectively

Victoria Race-  
- Melbourne on

graphs that  
- having ad-  
- uprent Court  
- District Corte-  
- crepted by Sir

McDonald-  
- afternoon. It  
- ones ever seen  
- as buried in  
- of a tele-  
- Ministry and  
- Parliament:

eight hours'  
- Alexander Sut-  
- Wednesday,  
- pioners re-  
- brook was a  
- with his wife

with Cuth's green,  
- to a private, a  
- son, died about  
- shings joined  
- Co., Ltd.,  
- arks, which  
- y was held in  
- his manager,  
- into that  
- unjoined one  
- all till his  
- in public  
- sively to his  
- taxation was  
- of what a  
- great shock

RELATIONS WITH THIBET.

## TASHI LAMA'S PRESTIGE.

LONDON, March 22. The prestige of the Tashi Lama, who succeeded the Dalai Lama as chief spiritual ruler of Thibet, has been enormously increased owing to his visit to India.

The Thibetans are becoming cordial to the British.

## COMPENSATION FOR BOERS.

SIXTY-TWO MILLIONS CLAIMED.

LONDON, March 22. A return laid on the table of the House of Commons last night showed that the Transvaal War Compensation Commission examined 85,000 claims, aggregating £62,000,000, but allowed £25,000 for military.

£20,000,000 provided by the Imperial Government for the settlement of claims £5,000,000 was allotted to the Orange River Colony, and the balance to the Transvaal.

## LORD MILNER'S ADMINISTRATION.

LONDON, March 21. Further newspaper cablegrams received from South Africa protest against the motion of censure on Lord Milner, of which Mr. W. P. Byles had given notice in the House of Commons.

The Government has proposed an amendment to the motion declaring that the forging of Chinese on the Rand is a breach of the law, but the House desires, in the interests of conciliation, to refrain from the censure of individuals.

## METHODS OF AMERICAN BEEF TRUST.

LONDON, March 22. The Judge in the Beef Trust case at Chicago directed the jury to return a verdict favourable to the defendants as individuals, but not in their joint capacity as corporations.

In June last, as the result of investigations extending over three months, during which the testimony of a hundred witnesses was heard, the Commonwealth Commission directed 15 officials of four packing corporations on various charges, including combination in restraint of trade, conspiring to repress foreign trade, and illegally fixing the retailing re-bates. Among the persons indicted were Messrs. J. Ogden Armour, Edward Morris, Leon M. Morris, Frank C. Morris, Charles N. Swift, and Edward Cudahy. The Armour, Swift, and Cudahy and Morris corporations were also involved. Up to the time of the trial, the Commonwealth Commission had not been able to prove that the methods of these packing houses, which are the most prominent corporations in the Beef Trust, had cost the Federal Government £20,000.

## BRITAIN'S TELEGRAPH SERVICE.

LONDON, March 21. The loss on the electric telegraph service in the United Kingdom for the year ended March, 1906, amounted to £957,730.

THE SYDNEY MORNING HERALD, FRIDAY, MARCH 23, 1906.

ASIATIC LABOUR.

## CONJUNCTION WITH COSMOPOLITAN CAPITAL.

SPEECH BY MR. CHURCHILL.

LONDON, March 22. Mr. Chamberlain, in the House of Commons last night, raised a discussion on the necessity of a Royal Commission being appointed to inquire into the economic and moral effects of indentured labour. The Government's policy, he said, would keep the question open for at least four years. It was unfair to keep the sword of Damocles hanging over the minnows. The present hysterical paroxysm of the Chamberlain, and inability to reason, by regarding the above as a reason for not censuring him, has led to the conclusion that one should be censured. The present hysterical paroxysm of the Chamberlain, and inability to reason, by regarding the above as a reason for not censuring him, has led to the conclusion that one should be censured.

THE "NOVOSTI" SUPPRESSED.

The editor of the "Novosti," of St. Petersburg, has been sentenced to a year's imprisonment for offences against the press laws. The newspaper has been permanently suppressed.

## FINANCES OF INDIA.

REMISSION OF TAXATION.

LONDON, March 21. The surplus of the Treasury of India for the financial year 1904-5 amounted to £3,456,000. The surplus for the current year is estimated at £17,550,000, and that for the coming year at £18,750,000. Half a million in taxation, chiefly affecting the agricultural industry, will be remitted.

Mr. Chamberlain's motion was defeated by a majority of 288 votes.

## RE-ENLISTMENT OF CHINESE.

LONDON, March 21. Mr. Winston Churchill, in reply to a question in the House of Commons, said it was improbable that the existing licenses relating to the introduction of Chinese on the Rand would expire before the Transvaal was self-governing. Re-enlistment under the existing ordinance would not be possible. The whole matter would be reconsidered by the future Transvaal Assembly.

THE "NOVOSTI" SUPPRESSED.

The editor of the "Novosti," of St. Petersburg, has been sentenced to a year's imprisonment for offences against the press laws. The newspaper has been permanently suppressed.

## CHURCH RIOTS IN FRANCE.

LONDON, March 21. The Abbé Claude has been arrested at Nancy, France, for having fired with a revolver at a crowd of socialists, killing a workman.

## FRENCH MINERS ON STRIKE.

LONDON, March 21. There are now 60,000 miners on strike in France.

Disturbances have arisen among the strikers at Lens, near Courrières.

## THE WOOL SALES.

LONDON, March 21. At the wool sales to-day there was extended competition for crossbreds, American purchasers operating heavily at the highest prices of the series.

## THE DIVISION.

Mr. Byles' motion was negatived without division.

Mr. Churchill's amendment was carried by 220 votes, the division being:

For the amendment ..... 355  
Against ..... 135

## JAPANESE INTERNAL LOAN.

LONDON, March 21. The last heard about the operations of the German Staff History in the Reichstag, said that the German troops were tied to their bases on the Orange River. There were only 2000 troops available against the Chief Moreng and the Bondelwartz, and it was impossible to suppress the rebellion among the Hottentots without building a railway to Keetmanshoop.

Colonel Delmeling, speaking in the Reichstag, said that the German troops were tied to their bases on the Orange River. The fight lasted till dusk. Next morning the enemy started till dusk. The industry was hampered by the mining laws passed by the South African Government.

Mr. Chamberlain characterised Mr. Churchill's amendment as cowardly and contemptible, and framed to catch votes by attacking without naming Lord Milner.

## THE DIVISION.

Mr. Byles' motion was negatived without division.

Mr. Churchill's amendment was carried by 220 votes, the division being:

For the amendment ..... 355  
Against ..... 135

## LONDON, March 21. At the wool sales to-day there was extended competition for crossbreds, American purchasers operating heavily at the highest prices of the series.

## THE DIVISION.

Mr. Byles' motion was negatived without division.

Mr. Churchill's amendment was carried by 220 votes, the division being:

For the amendment ..... 355  
Against ..... 135

## SILVER.

LONDON, March 21. Bar silver is quoted to-day at 2s 6d-1s 6d per ounce standard, a fall of 1s 6d since Tuesday.

The price of the Japanese loan is 2s, and the rate of interest 5 per cent.

## A TRADE UNION'S ACT.

LONDON, March 21. The German Staff History in the Reichstag, said that the German troops were tied to their bases on the Orange River. The fight lasted till dusk. Next morning the enemy started till dusk. The industry was hampered by the mining laws passed by the South African Government.

Mr. Chamberlain characterised Mr. Churchill's amendment as cowardly and contemptible, and framed to catch votes by attacking without naming Lord Milner.

## THE DIVISION.

Mr. Byles' motion was negatived without division.

Mr. Churchill's amendment was carried by 220 votes, the division being:

For the amendment ..... 355  
Against ..... 135

## THE DIVISION.

Mr. Byles' motion was negatived without division.

Mr. Churchill's amendment was carried by 220 votes, the division being:

For the amendment ..... 355  
Against ..... 135

## THE DIVISION.

Mr. Byles' motion was negatived without division.

Mr. Churchill's amendment was carried by 220 votes, the division being:

For the amendment ..... 355  
Against ..... 135

## THE DIVISION.

Mr. Byles' motion was negatived without division.

Mr. Churchill's amendment was carried by 220 votes, the division being:

For the amendment ..... 355  
Against ..... 135

## THE DIVISION.

Mr. Byles' motion was negatived without division.

Mr. Churchill's amendment was carried by 220 votes, the division being:

For the amendment ..... 355  
Against ..... 135

## THE DIVISION.

Mr. Byles' motion was negatived without division.

Mr. Churchill's amendment was carried by 220 votes, the division being:

For the amendment ..... 355  
Against ..... 135

## THE DIVISION.

Mr. Byles' motion was negatived without division.

Mr. Churchill's amendment was carried by 220 votes, the division being:

For the amendment ..... 355  
Against ..... 135

## THE DIVISION.

Mr. Byles' motion was negatived without division.

Mr. Churchill's amendment was carried by 220 votes, the division being:

For the amendment ..... 355  
Against ..... 135

## THE DIVISION.

Mr. Byles' motion was negatived without division.

Mr. Churchill's amendment was carried by 220 votes, the division being:

For the amendment ..... 355  
Against ..... 135

## THE DIVISION.

Mr. Byles' motion was negatived without division.

Mr. Churchill's amendment was carried by 220 votes, the division being:

For the amendment ..... 355  
Against ..... 135

## THE DIVISION.

Mr. Byles' motion was negatived without division.

Mr. Churchill's amendment was carried by 220 votes, the division being:

For the amendment ..... 355  
Against ..... 135

## THE DIVISION.

Mr. Byles' motion was negatived without division.

Mr. Churchill's amendment was carried by 220 votes, the division being:

For the amendment ..... 355  
Against ..... 135

## THE DIVISION.

Mr. Byles' motion was negatived without division.

Mr. Churchill's amendment was carried by 220 votes, the division being:

For the amendment ..... 355  
Against ..... 135

## THE DIVISION.

Mr. Byles' motion was negatived without division.

Mr. Churchill's amendment was carried by 220 votes, the division being:

For the amendment ..... 355  
Against ..... 135

## THE DIVISION.

Mr. Byles' motion was negatived without division.





## LAW REPORT.

THURSDAY, MARCH 22.

BANCAIR COURT.

(Before the Chief Justice and a jury of four.)

ELANDER ACTION BETWEEN MEMBERS, SETTLEMENT PENDING.

DACEY.

Mr. G. Cannon and Mr. Curtis, instructed by Mr. J. B. Frazer, appeared for the plaintiff; defendant did not appear.

The action was brought by John Rowland Dacey against Patrick McMurtry, for recovery of damages for alleged slander.

At the request of Mr. Curtis the case was adjourned till Monday next, as a settlor had come to him with his counsel said was almost come to a settlement.

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN INSURANCE AGENTS.

OKEEFE'S BARKER.

Mr. Watt and Mr. Davis, instructed by Mr. G. M. and Mr. Sullivan, appeared for the plaintiff; and Mr. Windrey, instructed by Messrs. Clarke and Sons of Mudgee, for the defendant.

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS FOR DEFENDANT.

This was an action brought by George Luke O'Keefe against Charles Barker to recover £200 for alleged slander. The plaintiff case was that he was the manager or agent in the Western district for the Equitable Life Assurance Society, and defendant occupied a similar position.

SUIT, MARCH 18, 1906.

On the morning of September 18 of last year O'Keefe was in Mudgee and had called at the post-office for his letters. While awaiting his turn he overheard a man who came up and, interrupting the conversation, asked: "Is there a Mr. O'Keefe in town?"

The postmaster replied, "Yes, he is here." Defendant, addressing plaintiff, then said: "Then you are the man I am looking for. I have a row to pick with you. And I have a wife to support."

Plaintiff, who was about the same age as the defendant, according to the plaintiff's case, asked him: "Do you not know that an agreement was made between us?"

SOCIETY. Sir, when he was in New York that the bitter fight here between the two societies should be discontinued, and that they should be merged.

Plaintiff then said: "You spread reports scandalizing my society."

Plaintiff then said to the defendant: "This is a public place, and I have a wife to support."

Plaintiff then said: "You have any charge to make that I have maligned your society or you, you should communicate with my wife."

Plaintiff then said: "Every satisfaction you complain deserves."

Defendant replied: "Yes; that is what I have done, and if your society will not do it, I will help you to do it." Plaintiff then said: "Where is that?" and defendant replied: "In gaol." Thereupon plaintiff hit the defendant in the eye. As did not the plaintiff's case, he was taken to the police station.

Corroborative evidence of adultery by plaintiff was given by his wife, who had been married to him for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the defendant for 12 years.

Plaintiff's case was that he had been married to the



